THE FLOOD

There was a worldwide flood but the story you've been told is a lie. Now you can know the truth

JONATHAN SHAVER



INTRODUCTION

As with the story of Adam and Eve, we have been fed by the powers that be an account full of lies regarding the true account of Noah, The Flood and the reasons for it. As covered in my first book on The Fall of Adam and Eve, I proved through scripture that their sin was not eating forbidden fruit but rather of a sexual nature involving none other than Satan himself. The fable of Noah, and the way it is told today is a total fabrication. The way the story is told today is cover for a sinister plan that involves how end time's prophecy will come to pass in our lifetimes.

We have been force fed the lie that Noah was a righteous man, in fact, the only righteous man left on the planet, the only one who God deemed worthy of saving because of his moral purity. This is total garbage. We have been further told that everyone else living in that day was vile and evil and had to be destroyed. While that may be true, it isn't for the reasons you suspect.

One thing I will agree with the establishment liars on is that the times of Noah were filled with evil. That the entire planet had reached a breaking point and that God had to react in order to save His creation. Past that, you must follow a different path to find the truth. You will find that path here.

You might say, "Who cares about the real story of Noah? It happened thousands of years ago and has absolutely no impact on my life today." But you would be wrong. What happened in Noah's day is happening right here on Earth, right now, today. The same reason God had for wiping out every living thing on the planet with the exception of some animals and Noah and his family is alive and well again. Yes, some people are evil, yes, horrible things happen every day but that has zero bearing on why God must and will act again.

Jesus made a direct correlation to the time of Noah and the end times in the Book of Matthew, in fact, he said that we will be able to distinguish the end times specifically by the similarities to the events of Noah's time.

IN NOAH'S TIME

In Matthew 24, Jesus was asked by his disciples, who lived under the despotic hammer of the Roman Empire, how they would be able to recognize the signs of the end of the world because they truly believed that this cataclysmic event would happen in their lifetimes.

Matthew 24:3

> And as he sat upon the Mount of Olives, the disciples came unto him

> privately, saying, “Tell us, when shall these things be? And what shall be the sign of thy coming, and of the end of the world?”

Over the next 3 dozen or so verses Jesus details the various signs and wonders to look out for as we approach the end of days. But interestingly in verse 37 he says:

Matthew 24:37

> But as the days of Noah were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be.

Continuing in verse 38, he says:

Matthew 24:38

> For as in the days that were before the flood they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noah entered into the ark.

Initially, we would be inclined to say that in the time of the end, people would become excessively sinful just like before The Flood. After all, the flood story is told as an act of a vengeful God and based on the severity of that sin, God would become angry and begin to divvy out the plagues, wars and pestilence on par with the Book of Revelations. But that’s not what Jesus meant and on closer examination we can see just what these two verses are really referencing?

Jesus made a point of directing our attention to the conditions during Noah’s time we must gain an understanding of what it was like in “the days of Noah.”

In Genesis 6:5-7, God tells us exactly why He decided to end man’s days with a worldwide flood.

Genesis 6:5-7

> And God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually. And it repented the Lord that he had made man on the earth, and it grieved him at his heart. And the Lord said, I will destroy man whom I have created from the face of the earth; both man, and beast, and the creeping thing, and the fowls of the air; for it repenteth me that I have made them.

It’s pretty clear from the tone here that God was pretty angry, even to the point of making Him wish He had never created mankind in the first place. Remember, what we are trying to find is the corollary between Noah’s time

and ours. The previous reference in Matthew 24, Jesus told His disciples that our modern day would come to an end much the same as Noah's time. However, when we compare the two eras, on the surface there are no glaring similarities.

Genesis says that God saw wickedness in man, to the point of man's every thought being completely evil. That man was continually evil. But Matthew says that people in the end will be, "eating, drinking and giving in marriage." Those two things are clearly not the same and the references have nothing to do with each other whatsoever.

In fact, in verses 11, 12 and 13 of Genesis 6, God reiterates just exactly why He is putting an end to His creation.

Genesis 6:11-13

> The earth also was corrupt before God, and the earth was filled with violence. And God looked upon the earth, and, behold, it was corrupt; for all flesh had corrupted His way upon the earth. And God said unto Noah, The end of all flesh is come before me; for the earth is filled with violence through them; and, behold, I will destroy them with the earth.

Again, there is nothing even remotely close to the violent corruption of Noah's time and what Jesus tells us would be the sign of the end, eating, drinking and giving in marriage. On one side we have abject evil and on the other enjoying a marriage ceremony. Where is the similarity?

An interesting side note here is the use of the word "flesh." More times than not, the Bible says men's hearts had become corrupted. However special attention has been taken in the use of the word "flesh."

Romans 1:24

> Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves

Ephesians 4:18

> Having the understanding darkened, being alienated from the life of God through the ignorance that is in them, because of the blindness of their heart

Hebrews 3:9

> Do not harden your hearts as in the rebellion, on the day of testing in the wilderness

There are three examples of verses that blame the heart for the sins of man. Lusts, blindness and hardening are the three adjectives used to describe that

sin. It doesn't say that they had lustful flesh or blind flesh but Genesis Chapter 6 does say that the flesh had become corrupted, like it had almost begun to rot. Why?

NOAH, PURE IN HIS GENERATIONS

Genesis 6:9

> **Noah** was a just man and perfect in his **generations**, and **Noah** walked with God.

Genesis 6:9 is one of the most misunderstood verses and has spawned much doctrinal error. These false doctrines are based upon a misunderstanding of the English translation and the Hebrew text behind it.

Much like the story of Adam and Eve, even the non-religious have a basic understanding of Noah and his ark. For the most part we are taught that the world had gone bad, was full of sin. Because of the decadence God was sorry that he had ever created man and decided that he would send a flood and start over. Further, there was only one man, Noah, who was good enough to be spared. God instructs Noah to build a giant boat and gather two of every animal on the planet. During this worldwide flood Noah, his family and the animals would be safe until the waters receded. Blah, blah, blah.

But Genesis 6:9 tells a very different story than what most of us are accustomed to. While, nowhere does it mention that Noah was a good man; a man above all others in moral purity. In fact, in chapter 9 verse 21 we see a drunken Noah in a public, naked stupor.

Genesis 9:21, 22

> And he drank of the wine, and was drunken; and he was uncovered within his tent. And Ham, the father of Canaan, saw the nakedness of his father, and told his two brethren without.

Drinking wine to excess and then passing out naked in front of the family certainly does not paint the picture of a morally perfect individual. Not to say that Noah was an evil man, far from it, I'm sure, but nonetheless, a fallen, sinful man, just like all the rest of us.

In the Dead Sea Scrolls, The Birth of Noah, in referencing Genesis 6:9, Noah is said to have been born perfect. This is in direct contradiction to what Paul wrote to the Romans. The Bible clearly states that we are all born into sin:

Romans 5:12

> Wherefore, as by one man sin entered into the world, and death by sin; and so death passed upon all men, for that all have sinned

And in

Romans 3:23

> **For all have sinned**, and come short of the glory of God

Clearly, man is born is a sinful state and from our births we are in need of the blood of Christ for our redemption. Noah was no different; Jesus alone was born pure. Then what does it mean that Noah was born "perfect?" If Noah was born and never sinned these verses would say, "for all have sinned, except Noah." But copies of The Birth of Noah found amongst the Dead Sea Scrolls say that he was born perfect. How can this be? As we have been told of Noah's drunken affinity for stripping down in front of the kiddies, it cannot mean that he was sinless. There must have been something else about Noah that made him perfect.

In order to our understanding the use of the word "perfect" we must dig down to the very root of the Hebrew. While the Hebrew word used for the word "pure" can be used to describe a moral purity, it can also be used to describe a physical purity.

Given that Noah was an obvious sinful man just as the rest of us are, the reference to his purity leads us to consider other ways that God could have considered him "pure," specifically, his physical nature. What does it mean to be physically pure? Could be that he was a virgin but given that he had a wife and kids, that's probably out of the question. But it could also mean that it was Noah's bloodline, his DNA, that was clean and pure and not his moral nature. What could have possibly been so genetically awful that God felt that He had to eliminate the entirety of humanity less one family?

Just a few verses before God details why He had to exterminate man He says:

Genesis 6:1-4

> And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them. That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose. And the LORD said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also is flesh: yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years. There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown.

Further the book of Enoch describes the same event:

1 Enoch 7:1-5

> And the women became pregnant and gave birth to great giants whose heights were three hundred cubits. These giants consumed the produce of all the people until the people detested feeding them. So the giants turned against the people in order to eat them.

It cannot be ignored that God chose to add this content to the very chapter that details the downfall of man and inevitably the eradication of man from the Earth. Why, in fact, are verses 1-4 even here in the first place if they don't bear some pertinence to the body of the chapter dealing with why Noah was asked to build the ark or speak directly as to why Noah was chosen as "pure?" Clearly the reason why man had become so absolutely corrupt was that Satan and his fallen angels had virtually succeeded in decimating the genetic line of the human being that God originally created. What God was saying here is that man was almost extinct. That nobody was left but half breed demon seed and that He had to intervene before nothing was left. Further, if we go out of the Bible for a moment and I believe that we must do this as much as possible, we read in the Book of Jubilees:

Jubilees 7:21-22

> For it was on account of these three things fornication, uncleanness, and injustice that the flood was on the earth, since it was due to fornication that the Watchers had illicit intercourse apart from the mandate of their authority - with women. When they married of them whomever they chose they committed the first acts of uncleanness. They fathered as their sons the Nephilim.

Wow! One thing I do like about extra-biblical texts is that there are no punches pulled. This is a pretty clear indictment, yes? It flat out says that the reason for the flood was that the Watchers and The Sons of God, had sex with human women and fathered these "giants." There isn't one mention of Noah being a great guy. Not one mention, either, of people being sinful. It simply says that God had to stop Satan's attack on humanity and when you couple it with what Genesis 6 says about Noah's genetic purity only one conclusion can be made, Noah and his small family were the only ones left uncorrupted genetically.

THE FALLEN ANGELS

Who were these "sons of God" and "the Watchers?"

Genesis 6:4-5

> There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them, the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown. And God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually.

Please take note of, based on the earlier contention that angels can procreate, of the phrase:

> When the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare children to them

The identity of "the sons of God" will be revealed subsequently but pay close attention to the simple statement that human women, "the daughters of men," bore children by the "sons of God."

In this passage from Genesis the "men of renown" and "the mighty men" are first introduced. I have studied the Bible for many years and this passage never really caught my eye until a few years ago. What did it mean? Was there really a time in our history when giants walked on the earth? While I take the Bible on the strictest of authority the story was just too odd for me to take it at face value. Surely if there were really giants walking the Earth, other cultures and peoples would have written of them as well. I dug further and found what I was looking for:

The Hindus in their folklore called the giants Diatyas. The Diatyas were said to have often fought against the gods because they were jealous of them.

In Greek mythology the giants were called the Titans. They were the children of the gods Uranus and Gaea. They were in constant conflict with the gods and some were believed to be buried deep within the Earth. Herodotus wrote the Spartans once uncovered the body of Orestes and measured him to be over 10 feet tall! The kneecaps of Ajax were told to be the perfect size for the Olympic discus competition.

In Norse mythology the Jotnar were a race of giants supposedly created by

intermarriage between humans and the gods. Sound familiar? Are you starting to see a reoccurring theme here? These Jotnars were in constant conflict with the gods and it is said that there will be a fiery battle at the end of the world.

On and on this goes, the Spanish Jentilak, the Celtic Fomorians, the Swedish Jattar, etc., nearly every culture has stories of gigantic half human half gods that tormented them and sometimes even taught them valuable lessons. The list is too numerous to and too long to not have some factual basis.

Because this is a study, mainly, on what the Bible has to say on this matter, let's go back and deal with the Genesis account from chapter 6.

Genesis 6:2

> The sons of God saw the daughters of men that they were fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose.

The first thing that stands out in this verse is, these "the sons of God?" Who were these "sons of God?" As a rule, there are three different possibilities that have been presented and they are:

They were fallen angels
They were powerful human tyrants
The godly descendants of Seth

Interestingly, the Book of Job has some answers as to what exactly a "son of God" is:

Job 1:6

> One day the angels came to present themselves before the LORD, and Satan also came with them.

Job 2:1

> On another day the angels came to present themselves before the LORD, and Satan also came with them to present himself before Him.

The word for angels in these two passages from Job literally interprets as “the sons of God.”

The first, and oldest, belief is that "the sons of God" were fallen angels who consorted with human women, producing giant offspring called nephilim. This view was widely held in the world of the first century, and was supported by Flavius Josephus, Philo, Eusebius and many of the "Ante-Nicene Fathers," including Justin Martyr, Clement of Alexandria, Origen, Tertullian, Irenaeus, Athenagoras and Commodianus.

The second view is one which was first suggested by Julius Africanus and later advocated by Saint Augustine, the Catholic Bishop of Hippo. Augustine rejected the concept of the fallen host having committed fornication with women. In his early fifth century book The City of God, he promoted the theory that "the sons of God" simply referred to the genealogical line of Seth, who were committed to preserving the true worship of God. He interpreted Genesis 6 to mean that the male offspring of Adam through Seth were "the sons of God," and the female offspring of Adam through Cain were "the daughters of men." He wrote that the problem was that the family of Seth had interbred with the family of Cain, intermingling the bloodlines and corrupting the pure religion. This view has become the dominant one among most modern biblical scholars. And I can see why. They took the easy way out. There simply is no logical way to accept this theory as truth. There is no foundation in biblical exegesis for it. It is simply a copout by some myopic religious scholars from centuries ago to explain something that they didn’t want to deal with.

Further,

The Antiquities of the Jews, translated by William Whitson

> Now this posterity of Seth continued to esteem God as the Lord of the universe, and to have an entire regard to virtue, for seven generations; but in process of time they were perverted, and forsook the practices of their forefathers, and did neither pay those honors to God which were appointed to them, nor had they any concern to do justice towards men. But for what degree of zeal they had formerly shown for virtue, they now showed by their actions a double degree of wickedness; whereby they made God to be their enemy, for many angels of God accompanied with women and begat sons that proved unjust, and despisers of all that was good, on account of the confidence they had in their own strength;

> for the tradition is, that these men did what resembled the acts of those whom the Grecians called giants. But Noah was very uneasy at what they did; and, being displeased at their conduct, persuaded them to change their dispositions and their acts for the better; but, seeing that they did not yield to him, but were slaves to their wicked pleasures, he was afraid they would kill him, together with his wife and children, and those they had married; so he departed out of that land.

The fact, that the fallen angels were, the fathers of the old giants, was the prevailing opinion of antiquity.

In addition, first century Jewish writer Philo of Alexandria recorded:

> And when the angels of God saw the daughters of men that they were beautiful, they took unto themselves wives of all them whom they chose." Those beings, whom other philosophers call demons, Moses usually calls angels. . .

The book of Enoch, an accepted text by the Coptic Church of Ethiopia, says regarding the giants:

> And it came to pass when the children of men had multiplied that in those days were born unto them beautiful and comely daughters. And the angels, the children of the heaven, saw and lusted after them, and said to one another: 'Come, let us choose us wives from among the children of men and beget us children.' And Semjaza, who was their leader, said unto them: 'I fear ye will not indeed agree to do this deed, and I alone shall have to pay the penalty of a great sin.' And they all answered him and said: 'Let us all swear an oath, and all bind ourselves by mutual imprecations not to abandon this plan but to do this thing.' Then sware they all together and bound themselves by mutual imprecations upon it. And they were in all two hundred; who descended in the days of Jared on the summit of Mount Hermon. . .

I think the point that “the sons of God” is a term used for the fallen angels has been duly proven. In fact, from the above quote from Enoch, we are even privy to some of their names.

THE NEPHILIM

The idea that fallen angels lead by Satan, had sex with human women is not purely an Old Testament theme. In fact, the roots are older and stem from Greek, Roman, Hindu and Egyptian legends. As these stories have been handed down over thousands of years beginning in pre-diluvian times each

age they have faded from truth to mere legend.

Let's use the Greek Titans as an example. In Greek mythology the Titans were a group of giant gods who were the children of Uranus and Gaea. Cronus was the most famous of the Titans and he killed his father Uranus. Later in the story Cronus led an inevitably failed rebellion against Zeus and the rest of the Olympian gods. As punishment the Titans were imprisoned in the underworld, Tartarus.

In fact, in the New Testament this same story is used by Peter to describe what happened to Satan and his fallen peers:

2 Peter 2:4

> For if God did not spare the angels who sinned, but **cast** them **down to hell** (tartarosas) and delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved for judgment

Remarkably, this is the same Tartarus used in the Greek legend and it is highly likely that Peter was purposely trying to draw our attention to the Greek account. And it is also highly unlikely that Peter would have made reference to a pagan legend if there wasn't at least a valid point to be made.

The Hebrew word "nephilim" comes from the root word "naphal" and literally means "fallen ones." It can also be translated as "giants." They are mentioned only one other time in the Bible, in the book of Numbers, Hebrew spies returned from the land of Canaan and said:

Numbers 13:33

> There also we saw the Nephilim (the sons of Anak are part of the Nephilim); and we became like grasshoppers in our own sight, and so we were in their sight.

Clearly if these spies were made to feel as small as grasshoppers next to the Nephilim then giants they must have been.

NOAH'S FAMILY TREE

Matthew 24:38

> For as in the days that were before the flood **they** were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noah entered into the ark.

Who are "they?" When we run the two together and compare who "they" are in Genesis 6 with the "they" from Matthew 24 it must be concluded that we are dealing with a specific group of people, Nephilim in both cases. Is it possible that the entire end times scenario is going to once again deal with the

Nephilim?

The Bible goes to great lengths to point out to us that Noah was in fact from a clean blood line and goes out of the way to point out that Noah was not without sin. The entire chapter of Genesis 5, in 32 verses, traces Noah's family tree all the way back to Adam thru the line of Seth, not Cain, Adam and Eve's third son. Because, as we know, Cain was the son of Satan. (see my book on the Fall of Man)

This next section is not going to be exciting and whether you know the specific names in the list is meaningless. What you need to consider is, why would the writer go to such great lengths to point out a simple family tree? Five chapters into the Old Testament, detailing the amazing story of the creation of the universe, the creation of man, the fall of man, a worldwide flood and then as a crescendo God goes into 32 verses on Noah's family tree? It has to mean so much more than what has been previously assigned to it. It screams out for our attention. It's almost as if God is saying to us, "this bloodline of Noah's is just as important as the creation of the universe, as vital as the story of Adam and Eve.

Genesis 5

> This is the book of the generations of Adam. In the day that God created man, in the likeness of God made he him;
>
> Male and female created he them; and blessed them, and called their name Adam, in the day when they were created.
>
> And Adam lived an hundred and thirty years, and begat a son in his own likeness, and after his image; and called his name Seth:
>
> And the days of Adam after he had begotten Seth were eight hundred years: and he begat sons and daughters:
>
> And all the days that Adam lived were nine hundred and thirty years: and he died.
>
> And Seth lived an hundred and five years, and begat Enos:
>
> And Seth lived after he begat Enos eight hundred and seven years, and begat sons and daughters:
>
> And all the days of Seth were nine hundred and twelve years: and he died.
>
> And Enos lived ninety years, and begat Cainan:
>
> [1]And Enos lived after he begat Cainan eight hundred and fifteen years, and begat sons and daughters:

And all the days of Enos were nine hundred and five years: and he died.

And Cainan lived seventy years and begat Mahalaleel:

And Cainan lived after he begat Mahalaleel eight hundred and forty years, and begat sons and daughters:

And all the days of Cainan were nine hundred and ten years: and he died.

And Mahalaleel lived sixty and five years, and begat Jared:

And Mahalaleel lived after he begat Jared eight hundred and thirty years, and begat sons and daughters:

And all the days of Mahalaleel were eight hundred ninety and five years: and he died.

And Jared lived an hundred sixty and two years, and he begat Enoch:

And Jared lived after he begat Enoch eight hundred years, and begat sons and daughters:

And all the days of Jared were nine hundred sixty and two years: and he died.

And Enoch lived sixty and five years, and begat Methuselah:

And Enoch walked with God after he begat Methuselah three hundred years, and begat sons and daughters:

And all the days of Enoch were three hundred sixty and five years:

And Enoch walked with God: and he was not; for God took him.

And Methuselah lived an hundred eighty and seven years, and begat Lamech.

And Methuselah lived after he begat Lamech seven hundred eighty and two years, and begat sons and daughters:

And all the days of Methuselah were nine hundred sixty and nine years: and he died.

And Lamech lived an hundred eighty and two years, and begat a son:

And he called his name Noah, saying, This same shall comfort us concerning our work and toil of our hands, because of the ground which the LORD hath cursed.

And Lamech lived after he begat Noah five hundred ninety and five years, and begat sons and daughters:

And all the days of Lamech were seven hundred seventy and seven years: and he died.

And Noah was five hundred years old: and Noah begat Shem, Ham, and Japheth.

Absolutely riveting material, I know. Let's skip ahead a few thousand years and go to the New Testament book of Matthew.

Matthew 1

The book of the generation of Jesus Christ, the son of David, the son of Abraham.

Abraham begat Isaac; and Isaac begat Jacob; and Jacob begat Judas and his brethren;

And Judas begat Phares and Zara of Thamar; and Phares begat Esrom; and Esrom begat Aram;

And Aram begat Aminadab; and Aminadab begat Naasson; and Naasson begat Salmon;

And Salmon begat Booz of Rachab; and Booz begat Obed of Ruth; and Obed begat Jesse;

And Jesse begat David the king; and David the king begat Solomon of her that had been the wife of Urias;

And Solomon begat Roboam; and Roboam begat Abia; and Abia begat Asa;

And Asa begat Josaphat; and Josaphat begat Joram; and Joram begat Ozias;

And Ozias begat Joatham; and Joatham begat Achaz; and Achaz begat Ezekias;

And Ezekias begat Manasses; and Manasses begat Amon; and Amon begat Josias;

And Josias begat Jechonias and his brethren, about the time they were carried away to Babylon:

And after they were brought to Babylon, Jechonias begat Salathiel; and Salathiel begat Zorobabel;

And Zorobabel begat Abiud; and Abiud begat Eliakim; and Eliakim begat Azor;

And Azor begat Sadoc; and Sadoc begat Achim; and Achim begat Eliud;

And Eliud begat Eleazar; and Eleazar begat Matthan; and Matthan begat Jacob;

And Jacob begat Joseph the husband of Mary, of whom was born Jesus, who is called Christ.

So all the generations from Abraham to David are fourteen generations; and from David until the carrying away into Babylon are fourteen generations; and from the carrying away into Babylon unto Christ are fourteen generations.

Now the birth of Jesus Christ was on this wise: When as his mother Mary was espoused to Joseph, before they came together, she was found with child of the Holy Ghost.

Then Joseph her husband, being a just man, and not willing to make her a public example, was minded to put her away privately.

But while he thought on these things, behold, the angel of the LORD appeared unto him in a dream, saying, Joseph, thou son of David, fear not to take unto thee Mary thy wife: for that which is conceived in her is of the Holy Ghost.

And she shall bring forth a son, and thou shalt call his name JESUS: for he shall save his people from their sins.

Now all this was done, that it might be fulfilled which was spoken of the Lord by the prophet, saying,

Behold, a virgin shall be with child, and shall bring forth a son, and they shall call his name Emmanuel, which being interpreted is, God with us.

Then Joseph being raised from sleep did as the angel of the Lord had bidden him, and took unto him his wife:

And knew her not till she had brought forth her firstborn son: and he called his name Jesus.

Once again, the first chapter of the New Testament, introducing the Savior of the World, is spent giving us the genealogical tree of Jesus all the way back to Abraham. Again the question is, why? Truthfully, who cares? Plus why does it end with Joseph being His dad? The message of the entire New Testament is the salvation offered by the sacrifice of Jesus on the cross. The New Testament has 27 books comprising 260 chapters and the first introduction we have to Jesus is his family tree. Ask yourself why. Why would this abominably boring material be the first thing we are exposed to in the New Testament? While I have thoroughly belabored this point, there is another message here.

To tie it all together, in Genesis 11 the Bible details the genealogy from Noah's son Shem to Abraham:

Genesis 11:10-29

These are the generations of Shem: Shem was an hundred years old, and begat Arphaxad two years after the flood:

And Shem lived after he begat Arphaxad five hundred years, and begat sons and daughters.

And Arphaxad lived five and thirty years, and begat Salah:

And Arphaxad lived after he begat Salah four hundred and three years, and begat sons and daughters.

And Salah lived thirty years, and begat Eber:

And Salah lived after he begat Eber four hundred and three years, and begat sons and daughters.

And Eber lived four and thirty years, and begat Peleg:

And Eber lived after he begat Peleg four hundred and thirty years, and begat sons and daughters.

And Peleg lived thirty years, and begat Reu:

And Peleg lived after he begat Reu two hundred and nine years, and begat sons and daughters.

And Reu lived two and thirty years, and begat Serug:

And Reu lived after he begat Serug two hundred and seven years, and begat sons and daughters.

And Serug lived thirty years, and begat Nahor:

And Serug lived after he begat Nahor two hundred years, and begat sons and daughters.

And Nahor lived nine and twenty years, and begat Terah:

And Nahor lived after he begat Terah an hundred and nineteen years, and begat sons and daughters.

And Terah lived seventy years, and begat Abram, Nahor, and Haran.

Now these are the generations of Terah: Terah begat Abram, Nahor, and Haran; and Haran begat Lot.

And Haran died before his father Terah in the land of his nativity, in Ur of the Chaldees.

And Abram and Nahor took them wives: the name of Abram's wife was Sarai; and the name of Nahor's wife, Milcah, the daughter of Haran, the

father of Milcah, and the father of Iscah.

That’s a lot of devotion to a really boring subject that frankly there really isn't any purpose to. Unless, of course, the purpose is to simply point out that Jesus was from the tree of Seth and not of Cain the Nephilim. Clearly God wants us to know that a.) Noah was clean (bloodline) and was from the tree of Seth (not Cain who was the son of Satan,) b.) Abraham came from the clean line of Noah, c.) Jesus was a direct descendent of Abraham thru his mother Mary, and also his father Joseph. When you couple this family tree information together with what we have already covered regarding the Nephilim who haunted poor Noah, a very different picture has been drawn.

In fact when you re-read verses like the one from 1 John about how the blood of Jesus cleanses us from sin, it makes you wonder if there is more to it than just a symbolic reference to salvation.

1 John 1:17

> But if we walk in the light, as he is in the light, we have fellowship one with another, and the blood of Jesus Christ his Son cleanseth us from all sin.

When we walk with Jesus and accept his gift of salvation we are washed clean of our sins by his blood. With all of the prior discussion about bloodlines and genealogy, do not lose sight of the message, Jesus saves.

One final thought before moving on. Since this fallen angel / human woman theme is so pervasive in the Old Testament, there ought to be some reference to it in the New Testament. Paul in his first letter to the Corinthians makes a strange commandment to women. Basically, they are to keep their heads covered. If a woman does choose to be uncovered, it is recommended that she shave her head. Why? Verse 10 gives the reason, “because of the angels.”

1 Corinthians 11:6-10

> If a woman does not cover her head, she should have her hair cut off; and if it is a disgrace for a woman to have her hair cut or shaved off, she should cover her head.
>
> A man ought not to cover his head, since he is the image and glory of God; but the woman is the glory of man.
>
> For man did not come from woman, but woman from man; neither was man created for woman, but woman for man.
>
> For this reason, and <u>because of the angels</u>, the woman ought to have a sign of authority on her head.

In Jewish tradition and also in the early Christian church, angels were said to be present at all sacred gatherings and rites to watch over the ceremonies. One of the superstitions of the time was that an uncovered woman may offend the presiding angels and cause them to depart. Given all of the material already covered, this set of verses stands out like a sore thumb.

Men are directed to keep their heads uncovered. If a woman opts to be uncovered she is to shave her head evidently to look more like a man. I propose that Paul was making direct referenced to the story of the fall. Why else would he say that a woman should cover herself to hide from angels?

So what is the point of all of this? How is this pertinent to our world today? Let's go back to the book of Matthew when the disciples asked Jesus how to recognize the signs of the end times.

NOAH'S DAYS

Matthew 24:37,38

> But as the days of Noah were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. For as in the days that were before the flood they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noah entered into the ark.

Jesus says that we will be able to recognize the end by the return of the days of Noah. And what was prevalent in the Noah's time? The Nephilim. And we have also shown that Satan had so corrupted the bloodline of mankind that he may have been the only "real" human being left on Earth.

So how does this tie into our world today?

Christians as a group are more or less closed minded to the idea of the universe being populated outside of our own world. Galileo and Copernicus were both persecuted by the Church for their beliefs that the Earth wasn't the center of the world. It has been speculated that there are literally tens of billion of stars in our own Milky Way galaxy and that in addition they are billions of galaxies besides our own. Just by the simple math of possibilities there should be thousands if not millions of other populated worlds out there. Whether God has other worlds running concurrently with ours is His business and not really of any importance to us here as the vast distances between us would preclude travel as our technology rests now.

More germane to the study here and pertinent to what Jesus said would be the sign of the end was the return of the nephilim. Do we have similar conditions today? Do we have giants roaming around raping, killing and pillaging? The

answer to that question is obviously a resounding, “no.”

But we do have a very interesting phenomenon that has progressed in its intensity since the beginning of the atomic age to today, the UFO enigma.

Ancient Astronauts

What I am not going to do is review the thousands of UFO sightings that have become a regular daily occurrence. So prevalent that dozens are posted on sites like YouTube on a daily basis. While many of these are quickly proven to be faked or staged, thousands remain unexplained. In addition, this is not an out of left field, nutty fringe group belief anymore. There are regular news reports, local and national detailing unexplained lights and craft sightings.

Many well respected figures with some notoriety have come forward and made it known that UFO’s and an alien presence here are Earth are , in fact, a reality.

Paul Hellyer -Canadian Defense Minister

“UFO’s are as real as the airplanes flying overhead.”

Dr. Edgar Mitchell - Apollo 14 Astronaut

“It is now time to put away this embargo of truth about the alien presence.”

Eugene Cernan - Apollo 17 Astronaut

“I’ve been asked about UFOs and I’ve said publicly I thought they were somebody else, some other civilization.”

John Podesta - Clinton Presidential Advisor and Chief of Staff

“It’s time to open the books on questions that have remained in the dark, questions of government investigations of UFOs. We ought to do it because the American people quite frankly CAN handle the truth. And we ought to do it because it’s the law.”

Dr. Brian O’Leary - Apollo Astronaut

“This massive cover-up has been going on for almost six decades since the UFO crash near Roswell, New Mexico inJuly 1947, an event which was certainly not caused by balloons, as alleged by the U.S. Air force.”

Vice Admiral - Roscoe Hillenkoetter -CIA Director

“It is time for the truth to be brought out… Behind the scenes high-ranking Air Force officers are soberly concerned about the UFOs. But through official secrecy and ridicule, many citizens are led to believe the

unknown flying objects are nonsense…. I urge immediate Congressional action to reduce the dangers from secrecy about unidentified flying objects."

Former US President Jimmy Carter

"There were about twenty of us standing outside of a little restaurant, I believe, a high school lunch room, and a kind of green light appeared in the western sky. This was right after sundown. It got brighter and brighter. And then it eventually disappeared. It didn't have any solid substance to it, it was just a very peculiar-looking light. None of us could understand what it was."

Like I said, it is not my intent to belabor those claims but at some point, with all of the sightings and with highly respected individuals as referenced above, coming forward and risking their standing, something has to give. This has moved far beyond calling UFO witnesses lunatics.

The question must be asked, though, how does this correlate to "the days of Noah." Do these ever increasing UFO sightings and claims of abduction have anything to do with what was happening right before God struck the Earth and it's inhabitants with a great flood.

We have already established that in the days of Noah there were giants in the land. We also know that those giants were the biological product of the mixing of the DNA of the fallen angels with human women. They were called the Nephilim and they were an evil race. (Having 50% of your genetic structure from the devil himself tends to lead to these sorts of things.)

We hear much today about people making claims that they have been abducted and experimented on by aliens. As a general rule the stories read as follows:

In the classic alien abduction scenario, a person is taken from their home or vehicle by aliens, generally the Grays (the Grays are, for the most part, the typical alien picture we've all seen, giant head and huge black eyes built on a tiny frame,) to a UFO. Invasive procedures performed on them that often have to do with removing ova or sperm.

A short time later, the women miss their period and report unexplained pregnancies that seem to terminate after 3 months of gestation. Many of these women did not have sex at the time of conception. Three months later, after the first trimester of pregnancy, the women are abducted again, and the fetus removed.

> Physical examination will show that the women were actually pregnant, the pregnancy terminated without explanation or fetal tissue.
>
> A child conceived under these conditions is called a hybrid-human. Later, many of these women report being taken aboard a UFO, being shown a child, told that it was theirs and is part of an experiment to create a more highly evolved race of humans. There may be a need for the child to make an emotional connection with the physical mother if the child it to survive or understand. Some women even report of returning later and meeting the hybrid child at an older age. They do not age as we do, but much more rapidly.

Please be very careful here. It is very easy to write this off as the rant of a lunatic or the desperate plea of someone looking for attention. But the fact is that these testimonies of abduction may simply be the modern version of the mixing of human and fallen angel DNA.

Satan and his rebel angels will not just sit by and watch God redeem the faithful, elevating mortal man to the position previously held by Satan himself and his angels. Satan's plan involves deceiving humans into accepting aliens and UFOs, and a global religion based on the occult which will pave the way for antichrist. The main focus of this deception will be to convince humans that the Bible was wrong -- that life evolved on other planets and that these "alien space brothers" perhaps were the ones who created humans ... and the Bible was just a primitive misinterpretation of alien and UFO encounters.

One of the key passages with regard to hints to the signs of the end of days, in the New Testament, comes from Paul and his second letter to the Thessalonians.

2 Thessalonians 2 1:4

> Concerning the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ and our being gathered to him, we ask you, brothers and sisters, not to become easily unsettled or alarmed by the teaching allegedly from us—whether by a prophecy or by word of mouth or by letter—asserting that the day of the Lord has already come. Don’t let anyone deceive you in any way, for that day will not come until the rebellion occurs and the man of lawlessness is revealed, the man doomed to destruction. He will oppose and will exalt himself over everything that is called God or is worshiped, so that he sets himself up in God’s temple, proclaiming himself to be God.

First point, verse one begins by telling us that this passage is going to deal with the return of Jesus:

> Concerning the coming of our Lord Jesus Christ

2 Thessalonians 2:3

> Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first.

To put it in plain English, Jesus will return after people fall away from their belief in God. There are many out there that will tell you that this falling away is already in place today. Church attendance is way down in the United States and in Europe it's even worse. They will claim that television has desensitized people to sin. Video games, the music we listen to, the occult, and new age influences, the list goes on and on. Turn on a television and watch the evangelists proclaim that we are nearing the end. All brought on by these various evils. Now I am not naïve enough to sit here and say that our society as a whole has not been effected for the worse by these things but I am not ready to call it "the great falling away." No, there has to be more to this story.

Let's cut to the chase, the great falling away will be an event that so shocks the world that, if it were possible, even born again Christians would be deceived. Let's look at three verses from the New Testament that deal specifically with the last days. These three verses give us specific clues as to what to look for.

Matthew 24:24

> For there shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if *it were* possible, they shall deceive the very elect.

And

Acts 2:17-19

> And it shall come to pass in the last days, saith God, I will pour out of my Spirit upon all flesh: and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, and your young men shall see visions, and your old men shall dream dreams:
>
> And on my servants and on my handmaidens I will pour out in those days of my Spirit; and they shall prophesy:
>
> <u>And I will shew wonders in heaven above</u>, and signs in the earth beneath; blood, and fire, and vapour of smoke:

So Paul in 2 Thessalonians tells us that the end will not come until there come a great falling away from Christianity specifically. Next Matthew says in chapter 24 that there will be false prophets and false Christs and that their message will be so powerful that even God's elect would be deceived. Lastly, Luke tells us in the Acts that there will be signs and wonders in the heavens.

2 Thessalonians 2:7

> For the secret power of lawlessness is already at work; but the one who now holds it back will continue to do so till he is taken out of the way.

This verse gives us a timeline, of a sort, as to what must happen before all of the terrible end time events occur. The first part of 2 Thessalonians deals with the revealing of the Antichrist and in verse 7 God say that he will not be allowed to present himself until whatever it is that is holding him back is "taken out of the way."

The King James translation of this verse is very poor and I have instead used the NIV version above:

2 Thessalonians 2:7 (KJV)

> For the mystery of iniquity doth already work: only he who now letteth *will let*, until he be taken out of the way.

The word translated "iniquity" in the King James version, and "lawlessness" (Greek ἀνομία, Gtr. anomia) is made up from two parts, "α" which is a negative, and νόμος (Gtr. nomos) which means "law". It literally means "not law", "no law", or "lawlessness", and refers to things done which are contrary to the law of God, namely, a transgression or a breaking of the law. The word translated "letteth" (KJV) and "restrains" is a present participle of the Greek verb κατέχω (Gtr. katecho) "to hold down", "to hinder", or "to restrain". The KJV "letteth" seems to mean the opposite to this. Most people seem to recognize these errors, and the New King James version translates as follows:

> For the mystery of lawlessness is already at work, only He who now restrains *will do so* until He is taken out of the way."

However, it is the last part of this verse which causes the problem, because as we can see the New King James agrees with the Old King James, with the translation, "until he is taken out of the way." There are some who say that the restrainer is the Holy Spirit working through the church, and that this scripture proves a rapture of the church before the Antichrist is revealed. When a person accepts Jesus as savior, the Holy Spirit is said to take up residence with that person's soul. Therefore, if all Christians are removed via

a “rapture” then the Holy Spirit would be taken with them.

Jesus said that neither he nor the Holy Spirit would leave us until the end of the age, but this age does not end until Jesus comes back for Armageddon and the Antichrist is removed from power. (Revelation 19:11-21). This denies that the Holy Spirit can be removed from the earth as has been stated, as long as there are Christians here.

My contention is that the body of the Christian church that is “taken out of the way” to make room for the Antichrist via the rapture. Think about it. The true identity of the Antichrist would be revealed the instant he popped on the scene. Christians the world over would blow the whistle on him and ruin his game plan.

A POSSIBLE SCENERIO

Let me lay out a scenario here that ties all of this together and then we are going to backtrack and fuse it with “as in the days of Noah.”

All three of the verses above actually give an account of the same event occurring in the same time frame. Luke’s signs and wonders in the heavens? I think we are already experiencing this to a certain extent already, UFO sightings. Only in order to create the “falling away” this is going to be a more obvious and blatant kind of sighting. Not the suspect YouTube variety where people wonder whether it was a forgery created on a computer. No this is going to be an encounter of the 4th kind for all the world to see and there will not be any question whatsoever about what is going down.

Consider for a moment what you would do if an alien spaceship landed on the front lawn of the White House or in front of Saint Peter’s Basilica in Vatican City. Think about what you would think if the aliens came out of their ships and proclaimed that they had finally returned. That they were here many thousands of years ago and planted life on our planet? And that they were back to save humanity, their children, because we have screwed so many things up and border on the edge of self destruction. Think on a worldwide scale. What would the reaction be? What if these “aliens” cured disease, ended world hunger, provided us with an unlimited, clean energy source and united all of the governments of the world into one peace loving organizations there for the betterment of all the world’s population? Of course it sounds fantastic but what if their one caveat was to worship them, that there was no God? And that they were the designers of the human race and deserved our reverence. And what if they told the world that they spliced

their genes together with the DNA of Neanderthal man and created the homo-sapien, basically claiming to be our “gods?”

This is not as crazy a scenario as it seems at first. Millions of people are waiting intently for this exact event to occur. Go onto your favorite search engine and type in “alien disclosure.” You will find literally thousands of websites heralding this rebirth of the human race. Unfortunately, it also precisely fits the “great falling away” described by the Apostle Paul in 2 Thessalonians.

We will cover this further but for those of you that think in lists and like a chronology assigned, you can look to this world changing event to unfold in a pattern very similar to the following:

1. A man made calamitous event brings mankind as close to annihilation as we have even been. Possibly World War III breaks out in the Middle East or Southeast Asia. The United States, China, Russia and any other country with nuclear weapons starts lobbing their warheads into the fray.
2. Those bombs are stopped by alien intervention. They make their first appearance saying that they could no longer stand idly by watching us kill each other.
3. They begin to lay out the history of man and how they created us. They bring the world into a new age of enlightenment with new energy sources, enhanced food production saving millions from starvation, end the dependency on oil, etc.
4. Quickly becoming the “saviors” of mankind, they make outrageous claims denying God and Jesus and every other religion.

AS A SIDE NOTE

The idea of extraterrestrial visitors is not a new one. Vast numbers of historical records of Planet Earth speak of ongoing contact with extraterrestrials as found in oral traditions, tablets, stone monuments, petroglyphs, and art forms found throughout the planet. There is a detailed history in ancient art work that details strange beings, flying crafts and ancient technology that is, in some cases, still way beyond what we are capable of even today.

The appearance of fiery chariots and hovering discs in Renaissance Era paintings as well as beings working their controls and/or attired in garb that we commonly recognize today as spacesuits proves, at least, that UFOs were

being sighted in medieval times. While no direct connection can be isolated from this, between space aliens and religious icons, there certainly is a lot of supernatural phenomena associated in and around these depictions.

The Crucifixion" hangs above the altar at the Visoki Decani Monestary in Kosovo, Yugoslavia ~ it was painted in 1350. On either side of Jesus are a pair of UFO's each with a person seated within piloting the craft.

Doesn't it seem odd to you that a painting from nearly 700 years ago depict flying craft? As a practice angels fly with wings in these sorts of paintings not in spacecraft.

The next painting is "The Madonna with Saint Giovannino." It may not be the clearest picture but if you type in the name of the painting on your favorite search engine you will clearly see above Mary's left shoulder is a shining, or glowing, disk shaped object. A man and his dog can clearly be

seen looking up at the floating object in the composite below. Painted in the 15th century, the artist is unknown.

Upon closer inspection, the man seems to be looking away from the UFO, behind him and over his shoulder. Or, more likely, the notably out of place object may be imagined floating above the ground between them. From either perspective, could Mary be seen as blocking the two babies from the flying saucer's view? Perhaps the dog glimpses the hovering object ~ with it's mouth open, it could even be barking at it. But what is that dark, sparkling, disassembled figure beside them ~ a dwarf, or an ethereal being? A body, legs, head, and tail can be made out. Could this represent a type of alien, or demon?



17th Century fresco depicting the Crucifixion of Christ, located in the Svetishoveli Cathedral in Mtskheta, Georgia. Notice the two saucer shaped objects on either side of Christ complete with stylized flames, blasting off in either direction.

Images on the ceiling beams of a 3000-year old New Kingdom Temple, located several hundred miles south of Cairo and the Giza Plateau, at Abydos. From this image we see many craft that resemble modern day flying machines. The top left one looks a lot like a helicopter to me and the two on the right look like cartoon drawings of a spaceship.

In Ancient Religion and History

In India, it was and still is believed that man descended from gods who flew fiery crafts. Early Chinese texts tell of long-lived rulers from the heavens who flew in "fire-breathing dragons," possibly a primitive man's interpretation of a UFO. In Tibet there is a book called the Kantyua, which means "the translated word of Buddha". It tells of flying "pearls in the sky" and of transparent spheres carrying gods to visit man. The belief is of being reborn time and time again and not just to earth. The Royal Pedigrees of Tibetan Kings dates back to the seventh century. It states that the first seven Tibetan kings came from the stars, and goes on to say that they eventually returned to the stars.

It is important to note three ancient Hindu text scriptures, the Bhagavata-Purana, Mahabharata and Ramayana Scriptures. These scriptures date back to at least 3000 B.C. and are among some of the oldest writings currently known to man. They speak extensively about flying machines called Vimanas that flew not only the skies, but to the stars as well. India's Vedic writings speak of gods, (they called Devas) from other star systems. They tell of how the human soul is nonphysical and how our sentient energy goes through a succession of physical bodies, as only the physical body dies.

The ancient Chinese records mention amazing, wise and humane beings, the" Sons of Heaven" Before the "Sons of Heaven" appeared on Earth, familiar

celestial phenomena preceded all such appearances.

Of all the "Sons of Heaven" it was Huang-ti who had left the "largest footprint" in the Chinese mythology. He first made his appearance in the Huang He River basin. Huang-ti was very different from other ancient heroes. He did not enlighten people, did not demand worship. Huang-ti and his helpers were amazingly rational beings. Of course, they did teach the natives all kinds of useful sciences, including the acupuncture. However, most important for them were their own affairs. And such affairs were dominated by the creation of complex, and alas, incomprehensible (at least, to us) apparatuses and contrivances.

Kirshna - In the Bhagavatam and all Vedic literatures, there are many descriptions of vimanas, aircrafts.

The Bhagavatam describes spaceships of different categories. One category is gross mechanical spaceships called ka-pota-vayu. Ka means 'outer space,' and pota means 'ship.' These kind of spaceships are known on our planet. The second category of spaceships are very subtle. They are called akasa-patana. The akasa-patana spaceships can fly at the speed of the mind. In the verse (4.12.27) of the Bhagavatam a transcendental spaceship is described. The general mass of people can neither see such crafts nor understand how they fly in the sky.

On different planets, there are different kinds of spaceships. On this gross planet earth, there are spaceships run by mechanical means, but on other planets, the spaceships are run by sound vibrations not by mechanical means. They are used by the denizens of the heavenly planets for enjoyment, such as traveling from one planet to another.

On other planets, such as the Siddhalokas, the denizens can travel from one planet to another without spaceships. The inhabitants of these planets are materially perfect beings who have full capacities to control gravity, space and time. They are naturally endowed with mystic powers to go from one planet to another without using any spaceships. Strange lore to say the least but not what one would expect from primitive man.

THE SUMERIANS

About 6,000 years ago something that was as amazing as it was illogical occurred on planet Earth. An advanced, culturally complex and intelligent human culture sprang full-blown into recorded history. Without passing through more primitive stages of evolution, trial and error, and slow-growing

learning, Sumeria started its existence already formed and set in its ways. The Sumerians themselves wrote, as they were suddenly able to do, that they did not develop their culture themselves but were merely the inheritors of what was given them by their "lords," ancient, long-lived beings whose very name, Annunaki, translates as "Those who from the heavens came down." They credit the creation of human civilization, human knowledge, and, in fact, humans themselves, to alien beings who came from another planet.

There are few people on Earth who have the knowledge to read and translate the cuneiform writing of these ancient people. Of the handful, it is impossible to avoid the work of Zecharia Sitchin. The great controversy surrounding his work is not his translations of the Sumerian texts but his interpretation of them. Sitchen views the words as being literal; for instance, when they say a "god" came to visit a temple he interprets it that "someone" did physically show up. He does not second-guess the meaning of an author 6,000 years ago and say they were only speaking metaphorically or trying to interpret something amazing with their primitive aptitude.

With the knowledge we can learn from these ancient people, we can begin to see a pattern develop that gives good indication for these literal interpretations. The Sumerians considered Earth the seventh planet, as their alien "gods" referred to it for being the seventh planet in towards the sun with Pluto being the first, Uranus the second, etc. That these ancient people knew of these outer planets without the aid of telescopes indicates someone from the outside had informed them. Our own science did not "rediscover" Pluto until 1930 which kind of defeats the argument that the Sumerians were dumb.

Another concept the Sumerians retold from their alien gods was that the Earth and the Moon were created as we know them today from a collision between two planets that had been thrown together because of the gravitational disturbance of the passage of their own planet, Nibiru, when it was first captured by our Sun. Until recently, this idea had been scoffed at but new studies indicate that this is a most likely reality.

The Sumerian “aliens,” the Annunaki stated they had sent a mining colony to Earth over 400,000 years ago. (That there were space faring beings on the planet so long ago could help explain the existence of so many of the mysterious Out Of Place Artifacts in Relation to Time and Space (OOPARTS) that have confused and confounded the "academically established" order of human evolution.)

The ancient Sumerian texts also state that these aliens grew tired of working the mines themselves and commissioned their genetic scientists to create a "worker class" from indigenous beings. Of the various species of homo-erectus available for manipulation, Neanderthal man has been shown to be related to us, homo-sapiens. There has yet to be discovered the mysterious "missing link" that connects the two lines together. Could that be because the "link" is the product of an alien genetics laboratory rather than the slow process nature takes to evolve a species?

According to the Sumerians, the aliens finally left Earth so their "creations" could develop on their own. There is, however, the caveat that they would return sometime to see how well that experiment worked. This is the basis of many modern religions. It also gives rise to the question of whether or not the aliens left representatives behind to watch the development of man. Are they still watching or have they over the centuries taken a more direct, although hidden, hand in the affairs of humanity? And through all this is the question, "What will happen when/if these ancient Sumerian aliens DO come back to reestablish their rule over a planet and a species they may feel they own by right of having been here long before us?

At what point do we throw off the idea that ancient man was a total buffoon? When do we let go of the preconceived notions that just because these people lived thousands of years ago, they must have been backwards cave dwellers? What if these Annunaki are actually the same fallen angels of Genesis?

The point I’m trying to make here is that it is entirely possible, by the many examples cited above, that the days of Noah may well have been noted by alien, i.e., Satanic, intervention. Remember Jesus said that we would recognize the time of the end because of its similarity to “the days of Noah.” Eating, drinking and giving in marriage are not really perceptible signs to look out for, that goes on all day, every day. But having shown that Noah and his family were the only genetic survivors of Satan’s plot to destroy the human genome, we may be more in line looking for an answer there. You see, Jesus could not have been born into a satanic bloodline, He would not have been able to die for our sins if He carried sins of His own into this life. Satan would have won and the prophecy presented to him in the Garden of Eden that he would be bruised on the head would never take place. In one felled swoop Satan would have saved himself and made God out to be a liar. That is why the Bible goes to such great lengths to show that the ancestors of Jesus had never been tainted with the blood of Satan.

Understanding Satan's objective is absolutely vital to your grasping of the entirety of this book. Why Satan rebelled against God can only be guessed. There are two Old Testament verses that may help:

Isaiah 14:12-14

> How you have fallen from heaven, O star of the morning, son of the dawn! You have been cut down to the earth. You who have weakened the nations! But you said in your heart, 'I will ascend to heaven. I will raise my throne above the stars of God, and I will sit on the mount of assembly in the recesses of the north." I will ascend above the heights of the clouds. I will make myself like the Most High.

Ezekiel 28:14,15

> You were the anointed cherub who covers and I placed you there. You were on the holy mountain of God. You walked in the midst of the stones of fire. You were blameless in your ways from the day you were created, until unrighteousness was found in you.

It would appear from the tone of these two verses that Satan found the motivation he needed, in man, to become prideful and desire what belonged to God. Was he jealous of man? After all God commanded that man was created to be just above the angels. Could it be that Satan sought to change man's genetic code to that of his in order to claim us as his own? Could it also be that he has his sights set on one last grand attempt to overthrow God? I believe the answer is, yes. He has no other options left. He knows that he and his minions will soon be thrown into a lake of fire for eternity. His last ditch efforts are detailed in the Book of Revelations.

The King's Dream

In the book of Daniel, second chapter, King Nebuchadnezzar has a very perplexing dream that he demands, under the penalty of death, be interpreted by the various mystics he employs in his court. This dream has major prophetic implications to us today and has a direct correlation to the nephilim and the genetic research going on as we speak.

Daniel 2:1-3

> And in the second year of the reign of Nebuchadnezzar Nebuchadnezzar

> dreamed dreams, wherewith his spirit was troubled, and his sleep brake from him. Then the king commanded to call the magicians, and the astrologers, and the sorcerers, and the Chaldeans, for to shew the king his dreams. So they came and stood before the king. And the king said unto them, I have dreamed a dream, and my spirit was troubled to know the dream.

Obviously, with the king offering this kind of motivation, there was an urgency to get this dream explained correctly the first time. One problem though, apparently the king was so disturbed by his vision that he totally forgot what the dream was about. Now that throws a wrench into the mix. Not only do the mystics have to interpret the dream but now they have to figure out what it was in the first place.

Daniel 2:4-12

> Then spake the Chaldeans to the king in Syriack, O king, live forever: tell thy servants the dream, and we will shew the interpretation. The king answered and said to the Chaldeans, The thing is gone from me: if ye will not make known unto me the dream, with the interpretation thereof, ye shall be cut in pieces, and your houses shall be made a dunghill. But if ye shew the dream, and the interpretation thereof, ye shall receive of me gifts and rewards and great honour: therefore shew me the dream, and the interpretation thereof. They answered again and said, Let the king tell his servants the dream, and we will shew the interpretation of it. The king answered and said, I know of certainty that ye would gain the time, because ye see the thing is gone from me. But if ye will not make known unto me the dream, [there is but] one decree for you: for ye have prepared lying and corrupt words to speak before me, till the time be changed: therefore tell me the dream, and I shall know that ye can shew me the interpretation thereof. The Chaldeans answered before the king, and said, There is not a man upon the earth that can shew the king's matter: therefore [there is] no king, lord, nor ruler, [that] asked such things at any magician, or astrologer, or Chaldean. And [it is] a rare thing that the king requireth, and there is none other that can shew it before the king, except the gods, whose dwelling is not with flesh. For this cause the king was angry and very furious, and commanded to

> destroy all the wise [men] of Babylon.

Okay, so if you happen to be one of these Chaldean fellows, you're probably not feeling too good about being alive for much longer at this point. You are appointed to this court because you supposedly have great mystical powers, your barbarian of a king has had a nightmare and forgotten the whole thing and now demands under the penalty of being cut into pieces and your family's home being turned into a pile of excrement that you somehow get into his twisted head and figure out what the dream was about. I;m freaking out at this point.

Daniel 12:13

> And the decree went forth that the wise men should be slain; and they sought Daniel and his fellows to be slain.

I'm guessing they couldn't give this guy what he wanted.

Daniel 12-14-18

> Then Daniel answered with counsel and wisdom to Arioch the captain of the king's guard, which was gone forth to slay the wise men of Babylon: He answered and said to Arioch the king's captain, Why is the decree so hasty from the king? Then Arioch made the thing known to Daniel. Then Daniel went in, and desired of the king that he would give him time, and that he would shew the king the interpretation. Then Daniel went to his house, and made the thing known to Hananiah, Mishael, and Azariah, his companions: That they would desire mercies of the God of heaven concerning this secret; that Daniel and his fellows should not perish with the rest of the wise men of Babylon.

One of the court mystic was a man of God, Daniel, and when he heard that the king was going to execute the entire crew, he went to the captain of the guards and begged for time to consult with God to find an answer. That he and his friends, if they could interpret the dream, would be spared the horrible fate of the rest.

Daniel:12:19-24

> Then was the secret revealed unto Daniel in a night vision. Then Daniel blessed the God of heaven. Daniel answered and said, Blessed be the name of God for ever and ever: for wisdom and might are his: And he changeth the times and the seasons: he removeth kings, and setteth up kings: he giveth wisdom unto the wise, and knowledge to them that know understanding: He revealeth the deep and secret things: he knoweth what is in the darkness, and the light dwelleth with him. I thank thee, and praise thee, O thou God of my fathers, who hast given me wisdom and might, and hast made known unto me now what we desired of thee: for thou hast now made known unto us the king's matter. Therefore Daniel went in unto Arioch, whom the king had ordained to destroy the wise men of Babylon: he went and said thus unto him; Destroy not the wise [men] of Babylon: bring me in before the king, and I will shew unto the king the interpretation.

So Daniel goes to sleep that night and the entire mystery is revealed to him by God, coincidently, in his own dream, and the next morning he once again goes to the king's guard and demands an audience with the king. There is a point to all of this, trust me.

Daniel 2:25-28

> Then Arioch brought in Daniel before the king in haste, and said thus unto him, I have found a man of the captives of Judah, that will make known unto the king the interpretation. The king answered and said to Daniel, whose name was Belteshazzar, Art thou able to make known unto me the dream which I have seen, and the interpretation thereof? Daniel answered in the presence of the king, and said, The secret which the king hath demanded cannot the wise men, the astrologers, the magicians, the soothsayers, shew unto the king; But there is a God in heaven that revealeth secrets, and maketh known to the king Nebuchadnezzar <u>what shall be in the latter days</u>. Thy dream, and the visions of thy head upon thy bed, are these;

Daniel gets before the king and basically tells him that all of his wise men are a waste of space. He tells him that the only one who can answer his riddle is the one true God, the God of the Bible.

Of particular note here is Daniel telling the king that this dream he had is indeed prophetic and describes an event for “the latter days.” In Biblespeak, “latter days” mean the end times. Daniel goes on and tells the king what his dream was about.

Daniel 2: 31-35

> Thou, O king, sawest, and behold a great image. This great image, whose brightness was excellent, stood before thee; and the form thereof was terrible. This image's head was of fine gold, his breast and his arms of silver, his belly and his thighs of brass, His legs of iron, his feet part of iron and part of clay. Thou sawest till that a stone was cut out without hands, which smote the image upon his feet that were of iron and clay, and brake them to pieces. Then was the iron, the clay, the brass, the silver, and the gold, broken to pieces together, and became like the chaff of the summer threshing floors; and the wind carried them away, that no place was found for them: and the stone that smote the image became a great mountain, and filled the whole earth.

Daniel describes exactly what the king saw in his dream, a giant statue of a man. The head was made of gold, the arms and chest were of silver, the stomach and thighs were made of brass, the legs from the knee down were made of iron and the feet were made of a mixture of iron and clay. Daniel immediately begins to relate to the king just what this dream means.

Daniel 2:37,38

> Thou, O king, art a king of kings: for the God of heaven hath given thee a kingdom, power, and strength, and glory. And wheresoever the children of men dwell, the beasts of the field and the fowls of the heaven hath he given into thine hand, and hath made thee ruler over them all. <u>Thou art this head of gold.</u>

King Nebuchadnezzar and his Babylonian kingdom are represented in this dream as being the head of gold.

Daniel 2:39-42

> And after thee shall arise another kingdom inferior to thee, and another third kingdom of brass, which shall bear rule over all the earth. And the fourth kingdom shall be strong as iron: forasmuch as iron breaketh in pieces and subdueth all things: and as iron that breaketh all these, shall it break in pieces and bruise. And the fourth kingdom shall be strong as iron: forasmuch as iron breaketh in pieces and subdueth all things: and as iron that breaketh all these, shall it break in pieces and bruise. And whereas thou sawest the feet and toes, part of potters' clay, and part of iron, the kingdom shall be divided; but there shall be in it of the strength of the iron, forasmuch as thou sawest the iron mixed with miry clay. And as the toes of the feet were part of iron, and part of clay, so the kingdom shall be partly strong, and partly broken.

It is agreed by most scholars that the different pieces of the statue in Nebuchadnezzer's dream represent four empires ruling one after the other, The Babylonians, the Medes and the Persians, the Greeks and the Macedonians and finally the Roman Empire.

1. Gold head – Babylonians
2. Silver arms and chest – Medes and Persians
3. Brass stomach and thighs - Greeks and Macedonians
4. Iron legs – Roman Empire

But there is one more empire mentioned that does not get the proper attention it deserves and what's worse, it's vitally important to our today. Most interpreters of Bible prophecy simply heap the feet and toes into the mix with the Roman legs but this is a grievous error. Others say that the feet will be the revived Roman Empire, with each toe being another member of an Anti-Christ led European Union. A stupid idea given that there have been as many as 17 members. Not surprisingly, I do not subscribe to the hypothesis either. God made a clear distinction that the legs were not of the same makeup as the legs. Verse 43 brings us the separation for clear interpretation:

Daniel 2:43

> And whereas thou sawest iron mixed with miry clay, <u>they shall mingle</u>

> themselves with the seed of men: but they shall not cleave one to another, even as iron is not mixed with clay.

A very clear distinction is made here. Whether the iron legs actually do represent the fallen Roman Empire and, in fact, if any of the other parts of the statue's body actually represent the Persians, the Babylonians or the Greeks is absolutely pointless to our discussion here. What matters is that at the time of the end, there will come an empire led by a "people" made from a symbolic mixture of clay and iron. Daniel even assigns a pronoun to them, "they." "They shall mingle themselves with the seed of men." That's sounds strikingly similar to the language used in many of verses we have already covered from Genesis, Enoch and the Jubilees detailing the way the fallen angels mixed with human women, doesn't it?

Do not forget what Jesus said when asked how we were supposed to recognize the time of the end:

Matthew 24:37

> But as the days of Noah were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be.

Matthew 24:38, 39

> For as in the days that were before the flood they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noah entered into the ark, And knew not until the flood came, and took them all away; so shall also the coming of the Son of man be.

IN CONCLUSION

That is a tremendous amount of information to digest and I challenge you to look into and dissect it for yourself.

One thing that can be agreed upon is that Noah was not some kind of god. Only one man has walked this earth and never sinned and it wasn't Noah. Does it make any sense at all to say that Noah was the most righteous of all the people and that's why God chose him? As if to say that, "well, at least he's not as bad as everyone else so let's roll with him." The Bible clearly

says that all people sin making it a certainty that Noah had some other attribute that qualified him for this role.

Of course, think what you will about this subject but bear in mind, the entire book of Revelation is prophecy. Large portions of Daniel, Ezekiel, hell, 33% of the Bible is prophetic. But given all of the end-times content Jesus could have quoted when asked by His disciples about what would be the sign of the end, his answer was, look to Noah. Wars, pestilence, famines, earthquakes and all of that doom and gloom stuff, you would assume, would be the first things Jesus would train our eyes to but instead he simply points us to Noah.

That fact alone should be enough to surmise that there is more to the Noah story than has ever been presented.